UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/505,289	07/14/2005	Brian Jones	01898-25808.US	5179
	7590 07/02/200 TH & WESTERN, LL	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 1219		THERKORN, ERNEST G		
SANDY, UT 84091-1219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/505,289	JONES ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ernest G. Therkorn	1797
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 ⊆ 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-33 is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable application.	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er.	≅xaminer.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/505,289 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. No support can be found for "wherein said heating or cooling is performed away from the column" and "and situated separately from the column." A fair reading of the remarks would indicate that these phases are intended to mean that the column is not in direct thermal contact with the heating or cooling source. However, the specification does not support precluding direct thermal contact with the heating or cooling source. Page 4, lines 1 and 2 of the specification indicates that Figure 1 is an embodiment of the invention. In that embodiment, the tubing and the column are both place in a single oven. As such, both the tubing and column are in direct contact with the heat source. The specification does not support precluding direct thermal contact with the heating or cooling source because direct thermal contact is one of applicants' embodiments. Accordingly, the claims are considered to be directed to new matter.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(B) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Sutton (U.S. Patent No. 6,103,112). The claims are considered to read on Sutton (U.S. Patent No. 6,103,112). However, if a difference exists between the claims and Sutton (U.S. Patent No. 6,103,112), it would reside in optimizing the steps of Sutton (U.S. Patent No. 6,103,112). It would have been obvious to optimize the steps of Sutton (U.S. Patent No. 6,103,112) to enhance separation.

Claims 5, 6, 9, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sutton (U.S. Patent No. 6,103,112) in view of Nickerson (U.S. Patent No. 6,423,120). At best, the claims differ from Sutton (U.S. Patent No. 6,103,112) in reciting up to several hundred watts. Nickerson (U.S. Patent No. 6,423,120) (column 5, lines 35-45) discloses a typical heater must be configured from 60 watts to achieve reasonable heat-up rates. It would have been obvious to use 60 watts in Sutton (U.S. Patent No. 6,103,112) because Nickerson (U.S. Patent No. 6,423,120) (column 5, lines 35-45) discloses a typical heater must be configured from 60 watts to achieve reasonable heat-up rates.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sutton (U.S. Patent No. 6,103,112) in view of each of Schneider (U.S. Patent No. 5,238,557), that which is conceded to be old in the paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3 of the specification, and Waters (U.S. Patent No. 3,522,725). At best, the claims differ

Page 4

Art Unit: 1797

from Sutton (U.S. Patent No. 6,103,112) in reciting that the heating and cooling is performed away from the column. Schneider (U.S. Patent No. 5,238,557) (column 4, lines 42-44) discloses performing heating and cooling away from the column by preheating allows use of less heating power by the column. That which is conceded to be old in the paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3 of the specification indicates that use of a feedback control of a preheater is suitable for wide bore columns. Waters (U.S. Patent No. 3,522,725) (column 3, lines 29-37) discloses that it is sufficient to have the connected ends of the column attached to heat exchanged tubing to maintain column temperature and the drawing pictorially discloses the heating and cooling is away from the column. It would have been obvious to heat and cool away from the column either because Schneider (U.S. Patent No. 5,238,557) (column 4, lines 42-44) discloses performing heating and cooling away from the column by preheating allows use of less heating power by the column; or because that which is conceded to be old in the paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3 of the specification indicates that use of a feedback control of a preheater is suitable for wide bore columns, or because Waters (U.S. Patent No. 3,522,725) (column 3, lines 29-37) discloses that it is sufficient to have the connected ends of the column attached to heat exchanged tubing to maintain column temperature and the drawing pictorially discloses the heating and cooling is away from the column.

Claims 5, 6, 9, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sutton (U.S. Patent No. 6,103,112) in view of each of Schneider (U.S. Patent No. 5,238,557), that which is conceded to be old in the paragraph bridging

pages 2 and 3 of the specification, and Waters (U.S. Patent No. 3,522,725) as applied to claims 1-17 above, and further in view of Nickerson (U.S. Patent No. 6,423,120). At best, the claims differ from Sutton (U.S. Patent No. 6,103,112) in view of each of Schneider (U.S. Patent No. 5,238,557), that which is conceded to be old in the paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3 of the specification, and Waters (U.S. Patent No. 3,522,725) in reciting up to several hundred watts. Nickerson (U.S. Patent No. 6,423,120) (column 5, lines 35-45) discloses a typical heater must be configured from 60 watts to achieve reasonable heat-up rates. It would have been obvious to use 60 watts in Sutton (U.S. Patent No. 6,103,112) in view of each of Schneider (U.S. Patent No. 5,238,557), that which is conceded to be old in the paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3 of the specification, and Waters (U.S. Patent No. 3,522,725) because Nickerson (U.S. Patent No. 6,423,120) (column 5, lines 35-45) discloses a typical heater must be configured from 60 watts to achieve reasonable heat-up rates.

The remarks urge patentability based upon the limitations "wherein said heating or cooling is performed away from the column" and "and situated separately from the column." However, these limitations are considered to be new matter. In any event, Schneider (U.S. Patent No. 5,238,557) (column 4, lines 42-44) discloses performing heating and cooling away from the column by preheating allows use of less heating power by the column. That which is conceded to be old in the paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3 of the specification indicates that use of a feedback control of a preheater is suitable for wide bore columns. Waters (U.S. Patent No. 3,522,725) (column 3, lines 29-37) discloses that it is sufficient to have the connected ends of the

column attached to heat exchanged tubing to maintain column temperature and the drawing pictorially discloses the heating and cooling is away from the column. It would have been obvious to heat and cool away from the column either because Schneider (U.S. Patent No. 5,238,557) (column 4, lines 42-44) discloses performing heating and cooling away from the column by preheating allows use of less heating power by the column; or because that which is conceded to be old in the paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3 of the specification indicates that use of a feedback control of a preheater is suitable for wide bore columns, or because Waters (U.S. Patent No. 3,522,725) (column 3. lines 29-37) discloses that it is sufficient to have the connected ends of the column attached to heat exchanged tubing to maintain column temperature and the drawing pictorially discloses the heating and cooling is away from the column.

The remarks urge Sutton (U.S. Patent No. 6,103,112) does not rapidly heat his fluid. However, Sutton (U.S. Patent No. 6,103,112) on column 13, lines 9-13 and lines 32-35 discloses rapid and precise heating and cooling.

The remarks urge that Sutton (U.S. Patent No. 6,103,112) does not heat or cool away from the column. However, Sutton (U.S. Patent No. 6,103,112) on column 12, lines 19-23 discloses use of a coil of capillary tubing prior to the column to establish heat transfer contact with the outer surface. As such, Sutton (U.S. Patent No. 6,103,112) discloses rapid heating or cooling of the fluid through the tubing away from the column.

The remarks urge that Sutton (U.S. Patent No. 6,103,112) does not disclose claim 10's sensor. However, an inspection of Figure 7 reveals that temperature sensor 118 is closer to that portion of tubing 114 closer to column 106 than emerging from prefilter 98.

The remarks urge that Sutton (U.S. Patent No. 6,103,112) does not measure the temperature in the tubing. However, Sutton (U.S. Patent No. 6,103,112) on column 14, lines 7-20 discloses mounting the sensor on the tubing.

The remarks urge that the examiner has admitted that there is a difference between the claims and Sutton (U.S. Patent No. 6,103,112) based upon the limitation of use of up to several hundred watts. Rejecting the claims that have that limitation as being anticipated by Sutton (U.S. Patent No. 6,103,112) is not considered to be an admission of a difference. The claims have been rejected as being anticipated by Sutton (U.S. Patent No. 6,103,112) because Sutton (U.S. Patent No. 6,103,112) inherently discloses that feature because its column 12, lines 42-45 resistance heater would have to use that much power to achieve Sutton (U.S. Patent No. 6,103,112)'s column 13, lines 9-13 and lines 32-35 rapid and precise heating and cooling. The supplemental back-up rejection of claims 5, 6, 9, and 15 employs the phrase "At best, the claims differ." This is not considered to be an admission.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to E. Therkorn at telephone number (571) 272-1149. The official fax number is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/505,289 Page 8

Art Unit: 1797

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Ernest G. Therkorn/
Ernest G. Therkorn
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1797

EGT June 30, 2008